New Distribution Record of Mud Owls (Polychaeta Sternaspidae) in Mangrove Ecosystem at Lubuk Damar, Aceh Tamiang, Aceh Province, Indonesia

Ananingtyas S. Darmarini¹, Yusli Wardiatno² & Siti Nursiyamah³

¹Study Program of Aquaculture, Faculty of Agriculture, Djuanda University, Ciawi-Bogor, West Java, 16720, Indonesia

²Department of Aquatic Resources Management, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, IPB University, Kampus IPB Darmaga, Bogor West Java 16680, Indonesia

³Biology Micro Laboratory of Department of Aquatic Resources Management, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, IPB University, Kampus IPB Darmaga, Bogor West Java 16680, Indonesia

Corresponding author: ananingtyas.s@gmail.com

Orcid: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3954-7823

ABSTRACT The uniqueness of the Sternaspidae family was discovered in the intertidal area of the mangrove ecosystem, Lubuk Damar, Aceh Tamiang, Aceh Province. This study reports the occurrence of the mud owl Polychaete in the mangrove ecosystem as a new record in Indonesia. Samples were obtained at low tide (0–500 m) using cores with a diameter of 12.7 cm and a depth of 0–20 cm. The Sternaspidae family had morphological character differences from other Polychaeta in the form of a short and puffy body. The genus *Sternaspis* Otto, 1821 Lubuk Damar has two types of caudal shields, outward and deep grooves. The specimen was collected from a substrate with a percentage range of 26.67%–43.33% (sand), 46.67–56.00% (silt), and 8.00–15.33% (clay). The total 54 individual samples showed an average body length, width, and weight of 1.8–18 mm, 1–1.4 mm, 0.0009-0.1462 g, correspondingly. This research confirms that the genus *Sternaspis* is a cosmopolitan macrozoobenthos across the broad.

KEY WORDS Caudal shield; intertidal; introvert hooks; mangrove; short and swollen.

Received 14.08.2023; accepted 10.10.2023; published online 23.11.2023

INTRODUCTION

Polychaetes play an essential role in holding the infauna, recycling nutrients from the water column, and as biological indicators in the ecology of benthic communities (Fernández-Rodríguez et al., 2016). They are essential in the marine food chain (Yusron, 1985) and their role in the energy flow (Yu et al., 2022). Therefore, this broadly distributed species recirculates organic materials (Fernández-Rodríguez et al., 2019) and is estimated to participate in the recycling of mangrove litter decomposition material actively (Hutchings, 1998). In addition, polychaetes are highly abundant and a critical invertebrate group in biodiversity and monitoring studies (Schuller, 2007; Dong et al., 2023; Miri et al., 2023). Sekar et al. (2016) say abundance, distribution, and diversity are used as indicators of ecosystems and to analyze impaired environmental presence. The *Sternaspsis* Otto, 1821 genus is a crucial polychaete and influences coastal and marine sediment ecosystems. One of them is *Sternaspis scutata*, the dominant species in the estuary (Li et al., 2023). Besides that, Polychaetes dominate the macrofauna in the harbor (Zhang et al., 2023). Shelley et al. (2008), in a mesocosm study, postulate a pull-out in nitrite (NO2⁻) degradation and increased ammonium release (NH4⁺) from sediments, with the significant environmental addition of *Sternapsis scutata*.

Generally, Sternaspidae classification is determined by the ventro caudal shield and introvert hook features (Sendall & Salazar-Vallejo, 2013; Salazar-Vallejo, 2017; Wu et al., 2015; Drennan et al., 2019). Furthermore, the morphological characteristic comprises three genera (Salazar-Vallejo & Buzhinskaja, 2013), while studies from other countries reported various species, including S. capillata (Nonato, 1966), S. scutata (Townsend et al., 2006; Junardi & Wardoyo, 2008; Jose et al., 2014; Abdelnaby, 2020), S chinensis, S. ilui (Wu et al., 2015), S. thorsoni (Loghmani, 2016), S. costata (Yoshino et al., 2016), S. lindae, S. londognoi, and S. sherlockae (Salazar-Vallejo, 2017). Several recent reports regarding the existence of this family were found at depths between 180-6489 m (Fiege & Barnich, 2020).

The Indonesian Polychaetes studies on various ecosystems are concerned with distribution and diversity (Indarjo et al., 2005; Junardi & Wardoyo, 2008; Irmawan et al., 2010; Widianwari & Widianingsih, 2011; Munairi & Abida, 2012; Hadiyanto, 2013; Romadhoni & Aunurrohim, 2013; Sahidin & Wardiatno, 2016; Rahman, 2016; Pamungkas, 2017). Apart from that, another research related to this group found fouls at Tanjung Priok Port, Jakarta (Hadiyanto, 2018). In addition to the distribution and diversity of reports related to the morphology and function of Polychaeta in Indonesia previously done by Yusron (1985), a recent publication identified neglected and unpublished genera from Indonesian waters comprised of about 713 species and 55 families. However, while the existing research has several specimens and is ecologically Redundant, the regional guide limitations resulted in imperfect identification (Pamungkas & Glasby, 2019). For this reason, studies related to Polychaeta are needed to provide more complete data and information.

The species diversity needs to be better reported, and therefore, notable data about Polychaeta and the Sternaspidae in Aceh Province is required to reduce

the information gap. The research location in Lubuk Damar, Aceh Tamiang, Aceh Province, is covered with mangrove vegetation. Previous research by Darmarini et al., (2019) identified mangrove vegetation types, including Aegiceras floridum, Excoecaria agalocha, Bruguiera sexangula, *Xylocarpus* granatum, and Rhizophora apiculata. Lubuk Damar coast has a large intertidal area at low tide, up to 1 km towards the sea. The most significant percentage of mangrove species found was Aegiceras floridum (Darmarini et al., 2022). Some areas have substrate texture types of 39.67% sand, 50.95% silt, and 11.45% clay (Darmarini et al., 2017).

In this area, various types of Polychaeta from various genera are founded. One of the genera of interest is the emergence of the genus *Sternaspis*. Therefore, this study shows information reports on the Polychaeta (Sternaspidae) occurrence in a mangrove ecosystem in Aceh, Indonesia.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Specimens in an intertidal area of the mangrove ecosystem were collected from the Lubuk Damar, Aceh Tamiang, Aceh Province (Fig. 1). Furthermore, this was acquired at 0-500 m low tide, divided into five distances (A. 0-100 m, B. 101-200 m, C. 201-300 m, D. 301-400 m, and E. 401-500). Also, with four recurrences at 12.7 cm core diameter from 0-20 cm depth, the Sternaspid was sorted with a 1 mm mesh size filter, preserved using 5% formaldehyde, transported to the laboratory, and stored in 70% ethanol before identification. Lastly, the substrate was analyzed in the Soil Chemical Physics Laboratory of Syiah Kuala University, Aceh. The specimen was observed using Olympus stereo microscope type SZ60 at the Biology Micro Laboratory, Department of Aquatic Resources Management, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, IPB University, Bogor.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Systematics

Phylum ANNELIDA Lamarck, 1809




Figure 1. The sampling location of the genus Sternaspis in Lubuk Damar, Aceh Tamiang, Aceh province, Indonesia. A black square indicates the study sites.

Classis POLYCHAETA Grube, 1850 Ordo STERNASPIDA Dales, 1962 Family STERNASPIDAE Carus, 1863

Genus Sternaspis Otto, 1821

Description and biology

The characteristic body was short, swollen, without eyespot, milky white, and had seven abdomen segments. Furthermore, there was a stiff caudal shield with ribs and concentric lines, or either. The caudal shield is usually mud-coated, comprising a fan with a shallow and deep median notch. Also, numerous gills with orange color, elongated and spiral-shaped were found.

For this study, 54 individual *Sternaspis* were obtained with an average body length, width, and weight of 1.8–18 mm, 1–1.4 mm, and 0.0009– 0.1462 g, respectively. In addition, the Sternaspidae family had morphological character differences from other Polychaeta in the form of a short and puffy body. Also, the color is whitish-dark (Fig. 2) with a rounded head and three-segment introvert hooks (Fig. 3). The introvert hooks extend like a thorn with a darker tip than the base (Fig. 5). The introverts anterior comprises the prostomium, mouth, hooks and genital papillae (Figs. 3, 4, 9, 10). Furthermore, the genital papilla is between the seventh and 8th segments in the ventral. Genital papilla are pair of lumps with a length of about $\pm 3 \text{ mm}$ (Fig. 9) and has a milky white color. The prostomium has a mouth in the middle and three rows of introvert hooks on the side (Fig. 10). Introvert hooks are shiny brownish. The posterior abdomen possesses a caudal shield, branchiae, and interbranchial papillae (Figs. 7, 8, 9, 11). In Lubuk Damar intertidal, two different types of the Sternaspidae caudal shield were found. However, both are thought to be in the same genus. Both shields have different shapes and fretwork. The caudal shield is visible in type 1 (Fig. 12), while in type 2 (Fig. 13), there is a hollow on both sides with a deep groove. Moreover, this possessed a different complicated pattern of ribs and concentric lines, with shallow and deep median notch fans (Figs. 12, 13). The caudal shield is orange-colored. There is a bundle of setae on the caudal shields, a kind of set of elongated spines located at the edge of the shields. The bundle of setae is brownish-orange colored.

This report illustrates the genus Sternaspis characters by seven segments and tapered hooks. Also, while 54 samples had Sternaspis sp. proposed characteristics, the body shape was distinct from other polychaeta. Rouse & Pleijel (2001), Sendall and Salazar-Vallejo (2013) affirmed a unique peanut body shape. Furthermore, the Sternaspis sp. body is short and swollen, prostomium oval, without eyes, and transparent (Fig. 2), as confirmed by Diaz-Diaz & Rozbaczylo (2017); Abdelnaby, (2020). The features of Sternaspis sp. caudal shield includes ribs and concentric line, rounded anterior margin, and fan with shallow or deep notches (Fig. 4). About shield with a rigid surface with radial ribs, concentric lines, or both confirmed by Sendall and Salazar-Vallejo (2013). On the caudal shield, there is long branchia, spiral-shaped, colored orange (Fig. 11), this is also confirmed by Nonato, (1966); Salazar-Vallejo (2017), and Drennan et al. (2019).

Distribution


Some species were recorded at a location of 20 cm depth with some substrate percentage variation and sited at low tide distance (Table 1). Furthermore, several studies indicate this is found between 100-200 m depth (Fauchald, 1977), and in 5-14 m, 8.6-10 m, and 7-36 m depth on muddy gravel, mud, and sandy mud respectively (Townsend et al., 2006). Also, this is collected from depths 30-150 m for sandy, silty and silty sands texture class (Joydas & Damodaran, 2009), 180-1345 m (Mendez & Yanez-Rivera, 2015) and 160 m depth (Salazar-Vallejo, 2017). Some species are widespread in many oceans, with depths varying from low tide to around 4400 m (Sendall & Salazar-Vallejo, 2013). There is worldwide distribution of genus Sternaspis; comprising Brazilian waters (Nonato, 1966), Bima and Buton Srait, Madura (Bleeker & Spoel, 1992), Chinhae Bay, Korea (Lim & Hong, 1996), Shallow water marine of Florida (Camp et al., 1998), Mamberamo Estuary, Irian Jaya (Kastoro et al., 2000), Indian Estuaries (Khan & Murugesan, 2005), English Channel (Townsend et al., 2006), South West England UK (Shelley et al., 2008), Peniti Mangrove Coastal Water, South Kalimantan (Junardi & Wardoyo, 2008), Pacific Ocean (Salazar-Vallejo & Buzhinskaja, 2013), Sundarban mangrove, West Bengal, India (Jose et al., 2014), Trang and Satun Philiphina (Jitpukdee et al., 2015), intertidal zone Satun Province, Thailand (Tantikamton et al., 2015), Mexican Pacific Waters (Mendez & Yanez-Rivera, 2015), Oman Sea, Iran (Loghmani et al., 2016), Tangerang coastal water, Banten, Indonesia (Sahidin & Wardiatno, 2016), Ariake Bay, Japan (Yoshino et al., 2016), South China Sea (Wu & Xu, 2017), Chilean Channels and Fjords (Diaz-Diaz & Rozbzczylo, 2017) and the Northern part of Arabian Gulf, Kuwait (Al-Kandari et al., 2019), Egyptian waters (Abdelnaby, 2020).

The habitat of this genus was muddy. Table 1 shows substrate particle percentage and the number of samples found, based on distance. The research location comprised a substrate texture range of 26.67%–43.33% sand, 46.67%–56.00% silt, 8.00%–15.33% clay. This is classified as a silt loam type. At sampling points, A and B had a range between 28.67%–39.67% sand, 46.67–56.00% silt, and 13.67%–15.33% clay; 4 and 6 individuals were found in each location. The number of species found in C, D, and E was 12–17 individuals found at the sampling point with a percentage of around 36.00%–43.00% sand, 48.00%–52.00% silt, and between 8.00%–12.00% clay.


Point of sampling			Clay (%)	Number of samples (ind)
А	28.67	56.00	15.33	6
В	39.67	46.67	13.67	4
С	43.33	48.33	8.33	17
D	42.00	50.00	8.00	15
E	36.00	52.00	12.00	12

Table 1. Percentage (%) of substrate type and quantity of *Sternaspis* samples in Lubuk Damar, Aceh Tamiang, Aceh Province, Indonesia. The sampling points are distinguished based on the highest tidal distance A: 0–100 m, B: 101–200 m, C: 201–300 m, D: 301–400 m, and E: 401–500 m.

The genus Sternaspis Lubuk Damar is found with two types of caudal shield, outward and deep groove. In addition, the Sternaspidae family had morphological character differences from other Polychaeta, in form of a short and puffy body. Also, the color is whitish-dark (Fig. 2) with rounded head



Figures 2-8. Genus Sternaspis from Lubuk Damar, Aceh Tamiang, Aceh Province, Indonesia. Fig. 2: ventral and dorsal view; Fig. 3: introvert; Fig. 4: anterior; Fig. 5: introvert hooks; Fig. 6: abdomen view; Fig. 7: ventro caudal-shield; Fig. 8: branchia from dorsal view. Scale bars Figs. 1-8 = 1 mm, except Fig 5 = 0.5 mm



Figures 9-11. Introvert part of genus Sternaspis from Lubuk Damar, Aceh Tamiang, Aceh Province, Indonesia. Fig. 9: genital papillae; Fig. 10: part of introvert; Fig. 11: part of ventro caudal-shield. Figures 12, 13. Idem, two types of caudal shield of Sternaspis. Fig. 12: type 1 fan with a shallow median notch; Fig. 13: type 2 fan with a deep median notch (yellow arrow). Scale bars 1 mm.

and 3 segment introvert hooks (Fig. 3). The introvert hooks extend like a thorn with a darker tip than the base (Fig. 4). The introverts anterior comprises prostomium, mouth, hooks and genital papillae (Figs. 3 and 4, 9 and 10). Furthermore, the genital papilla is between the 7th and 8th segments in the ventral. Genital papilla is a pair of lumps with a length of about \pm 3 mm (Fig. 9), and has a milky white color. In the prostomium, there is a mouth in the middle and three rows of introvert hooks on the side (Fig. 10). Introvert hooks are shiny and brownish. The posterior abdomen possesses a caudal shield, branchiae, and interbranchial papillae Sendall & Salazar-Vallejo (2013) postulated three different patterns on the introverted hook and caudal shield of the sternaspid body. Furthermore, there are seven abdominal segments and tapered hooks in two genera, while one genus has eight segments and spatulates. However, the prostomium has two types of introvert hooks and is tapered and spatulate. The stuffy characteristic of the ventro caudal shield specimen indicates the genus Caulleryaspis was not found. Carus (1863) corroborates a soft ventro-caudal shield of the genus Caulleryaspis, while the other two genera, Sternaspis and Petersenaspis, are complex.

The Sternaspidae family in this study was found at 0-20 cm depth in the intertidal area. Sternaspidae is infaunal deposit feeders (Faucald & Jumars, 1979; Rouse & Fauchald, 1997; Rouse & Pleijel, 2001; Shelley et al., 2008; Junardi & Wardoyo, 2008), and therefore, feed by absorption of food at the bottom of the water. Sternaspidae is infaunal deposit feeders (Faucald & Jumars, 1979; Rouse & Fauchald, 1997; Rouse & Pleijel, 2001; Shelley et al., 2008; Junardi & Wardoyo, 2008), and therefore, feed by absorption of food at the bottom of the water. Jose et al. (2014) affirm this ingestion of fine substrate particles for food. The specimens found at the highest tidal showed distances >200 m had more numbers than in the <200 m range. Also, the average percentage of substrate texture at distances > 200 m (C, D, and E) sand was 40.44%, silt 50.11, and clay 9.44% at the study site. This is classified as a silt loam type. However, the above texture percentage is not the only location of the family as Junardi & Wardoyo, (2008) had findings from 2%-13% sand, 55%-75% silt, and 14-38% clay with a loamy clay texture. Based on these results, it can be noticed that comparing the substrate texture from each location has a different impact on the number of sternaspis found. It is true that statistically, it has not been studied in this paper, but from Table 1, we get a common thread that the percentage of texture type affects the presence of Sternaspis at the study site. Therefore, Sternaspis sp. is found in various substrate types, including findings by Loghmani et al. (2016) of S. thorsoni at a range of 67.80%-71.05% silt and 5.09-6.42% clay. So it is assumed that this family can be found in various percentages of the substrate. This study contributes to previous data to show a broad living habitat of Sternaspis based on depth level, substrate type, and distribution in several water areas. Also, the uniqueness of the Sternaspidae family, recorded at a low depth level of 20 cm texture percentage and highest tidal distance, was shown.

CONCLUSIONS

In the Lubuk Damar intertidal, Aceh Tamiang, two different types of Sternspidae shield tails were found. However, both are thought to be in the same genus *Sternaspis*. The genus *Sternaspis* is located in the intertidal area of the mangrove ecosystem at a shallow substrate depth of 0–20 cm. The percentage of silt texture was found to be higher than that of sand (<45%) and clay (<15%). In addition, these results confirm that the genus *Sternaspis* is a cosmopolitan macrozoobenthos, and its widespread presence requires studies and reports to clarify its taxonomic characteristics and ecological role in the waters.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author would like to Laboratory of Bio Micro, Aquatic Resources Management Department, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, IPB University Bogor, Indonesia for providing the research facilities. The authors also thank all reviewers who have provided criticism and suggestions to better this manuscript.

REFERENCES

Abdelnaby F.A., 2020. First record of *Sternaspis scutata* (Polychaeta, Sternaspidae) in Egyptian waters. Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Biology and Fisheries, 24: 317–326.

- Al-Kandari M., Sattari Z., Hussain S., Radashevsky V.I. & Zhadan A., 2019. Checklist of intertidal polychaetes (Annelida) of Kuwait, Northern part of the Arabian Gulf. Regional Studies in Marine Science, 32: 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2019.100872
- Bleeker J. & Spoel V.D., 1992. Catalogue the polychaeta colleted by the Siboga expedition and type specimen of polychaeta in the zoological museum of Amsterdam. Bulletin Zoologist Musseum, 13: 121–166.
- Camp D.K., Lyons W.G. & Perkins T.H., 1998. Checklists of selected shallow-water marine invertebrates of Florida. Florida Marine Research Institue. Technical Reports. Florida Department of Environmental Protection. FMRI Technical report TR3. St. Petersburg. Florida.
- Carus J.V., 1863. Arthropoden. Handbuch der zoologie. Leipzig. Verlag Von Wilhelm Engelmann.
- Dales R.P., 1962. The polychaete stomodeum and the interrelationships of the families of polychaeta. Proceedings of the Zoological Society, London 139: 389–428.
- Darmarini A.S., Soewardi K., Prartono T., Hakim A.A., Nursiyamah S. & Wardiatno Y., 2019. New distribution record of the soldier crab, *Dotilla myctiroides* (Milne-Edwards) from Lubuk Damar Coast, Aceh Province, Indonesia. AACL Bioflux, 12: 289–297.
- Darmarini A.S., Wardiatno Y., Prartono T., Soewardi, K, Samosir A.M., & Zainuri M., 2022. Mangrove community structure in Lubuk Damar Coast, Seruway, Aceh Tamiang. Journal of Natural Resources and Environmental Management, 12: 72–81. http://dx.doi.org/10.29244/jpsl.12.1.72-81.
- Darmarini A.S., Wardiatno Y., Prartono T. & Soewardi K., 2017. Short communication: new record of a primitive brachiopod, *Lingula* sp. in Lubuk Damar, Indonesia. Biodiversitas, 18:1438–1444. http://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d180419
- Diaz-Diaz O. & Rozbaczylo N. 2017. Sternaspis chilensis n.sp. A new species from austral Chilean channel and forjds (Annelida, Sternaspidae). Zootaxa, 4254: 269–276.
- https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4254.2.7
- Dong J., Wang X., Zhang X., Bidegain G. & Zhao, L. 2023. Integrating multiple indices based on heavy metals and macrobenthos to evaluate the benthic ecological quality status of Laoshan Bay, Shandong Peninsula, China. Ecological Indicators, 153: 110367. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.110367
- Drennan R., Wikklund H., Rouse G.W., Georgieva M.N., Wu X., Kobayashi G., Yoshino K. & Glover A.G., 2019. Taxonomy and phylogeny of mud owls (Annelida: Sternaspidae), including a new synonymy and new records from the Southern Ocean, North East At-

lantic Ocean and Pacific Ocean: challenges in morphological delimitation. Marine Biodiversity, 49: 2659–2697.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-019-00998-0

- Fauchald K., 1977. The Polychaete Worms. Definitions and keys to the orders, families and genera. Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County. Science Series, 28: 1–190.
- Fauchald K. & Jumars P. A., 1979. The Diet of Worms: A study of polychaete feeding guilds. Oceanography and Marine Biology Annual Review, 11: 193–284.
- Fernández-Rodríguez V., Londoño-Mesa M.H. & Ramírez-Restrepo J.J., 2016. Polychaetes from red mangrove (*Rhizophora mangle*) and their relationship with the water conditions in the Gulf of Urabá, Colombian Caribbean. Acta Biologica Colombiana, 21: 611–618.

https://doi.org/10.15446/abc.v21n3.50796

- Fernández-Rodríguez V., Santos C.S.G. & Pires A.P.F., 2019. Meta-analysis of the effects of organic matter on polychaetes of the east coast of South America. Marine EnvironmentalResearch, 149: 148–156. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2019.06.001
- Fiege D. & Barnich R., 2020. A new genus and species of Sternaspidae (Annelida: Polychaeta) from the deep eastern Atlantic. European Journal of Taxonomy, 699: 1–13.

https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2020.69

- Grube A.E., 1850. Die Familien der Anneliden. Archiv fur Naturgeschichte. Berlin, 16: 249–364.
- Hadiyanto., 2013. Taxonomic notes of Polychaeta in rocky intetidal shores of Gunung Kidul, Yogyakarta. Zoo Indonesia, 22: 17–27.
- Hadiyanto, 2018. Fouling Polychaetes in Tanjung Priok Port of Jakarta, Indonesia. Asean Journal on Science & Technology for Development, 35: 79–87. https://doi.org/10.29037/ajstd.477
- Hutchings P., 1998. Biodeversity and functioning of polychaetes in benthic sediments. Biodiversity and Conservation, 7: 113–1145. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008871430178
- Indarjo A., Widianingsih & Abdullah A.B., 2005. Distribution and abudance of polychaeta in mangrove area; Klaces and Sapuregel Segara Anakan, Cilacap. Ilmu Kelautan, 10: 24–29. https://doi.org/10.14710/ik.ijms.10.1.24-29
- Irmawan R.N., Zulkifli H. & Hendri M., 2010. Makrozoobenthos community structure in Kuala Sugihan Estuaria, South Sumatra Province. Maspari Jurnal, 1: 53–58.
- Jitpukdee S., Tantikamton K., Thanee N. & Tantipanatip W., 2015. Species diversity of benthic macrofauna on the intertidal zone of seacoasts in Krabi, Trang and Satun Provinces, Thailand. International Journal of Agricultural Technology, 11: 1767–1780.

- Jose H.M.P.M., Muthuvelu S., Sivaraj S. & Murugesan P., 2014. A note on the occurrence of *Sternaspis scutata* (Reinier 1807) a Sternaspid polychaete from Sundarban mangroves, West Bengal, India. Journal of the Bombay Natural History Society, 111: 23–25. https://doi.org/10.17087/bnhs/2014/v111i1/56547
- Joydas, T. V. & Damodaran R., 2009. Infaunal macrobenthos along the shelf waters of the west coast of India, Arabian Sea. Indian Journal of Geo-Marine Sciences, 38: 191–204.
- Junardi & Wardoyo E.R.P., 2008. Community structure and substrate characteristic of marine worm (polychaete) in mangrove coastal water Peniti, West Kalimantan. Biodiversitas, 9: 213–216.

https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d090313

- Kastoro W.W., Sudibjo B.S., Aswandy I., Mudjiono Al Hakim I. & Aziz A., 2000. Macrobenthic community of Mamberamo Estuary, Irian Jaya. In: Ilahude AG, Kastoro WW, Praseno DP (Eds.), Proceeding the Indo-Tropics Workshop, Jakarta, 6–7 December 1999. Research and Devlopmend Centre for Oceanology. Indonesia Institute of Science. p.121– 134.
- Khan S.A. & Murugesan P., 2005. Polychaete diversity in Indian estuaries. Indian Journal of marine Science, 34: 114–119.
- Lamark J. P., 1809. Phylosophie Zoologique. ou exposition. A Paris. Dentu, Libraire, roe du Poot de Lodi, No 3 Lauteur, au Museum d'Historire Naturalle (Jardine des Plantes).
- Li S., Zhang X., Su H., Chen S., Chen W., Li F., Wang T., Sun Z. & Weng, X., 2023. Taxonomic diversity of the macrobenthos and its relationship with environmental factors in the Huanghe River (Yellow River) estuary and adjacent seas, China. Journal of Sea Research, 194: 102402.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seares.2023.102402

- Lim H. & Hong, J., 1996. Distribution and growth pattern of *Sternaspis scutata* (Polychaete: Sternaspidae) in Chinhae Bay, Korea. The Korean Society of Fisheries and Aquatic Science, 29: 537–545.
- Loghmani M., Savari A., Doustshenas B., Archangi B. & Kabiri K., 2016. First record of *Sternaspis thorsoni* (Polychaeta: Sternaspidae) from Northern Oman Sea (Chabahar Bay, Iran). Indian Journal of Geo-Marine Sciences, 45: 117–122.
- Mendez N. & Yanez-Rivera B., 2015. Distribution and morphometry of the deep sea sternaspids, *Sternaspis maior, Sternaspis uschakovi*, and *Caulleryaspis fauchald* (Polychaeta), in Mexican Pacific waters. Bulletin of Marine Science Miami, 91: 1–12. https://doi.org/10.5343/bms.2015.1046
- Miri M., Seyfabadi J., Ghodrati Shojaei M. & Rahimian, H., 2023. Assessing the ecological quality status of arid mangroves in the Gulf of Oman, Iran, using ben-

thic indices of AMBI, M-AMBI, and BENTIX. International Journal of Aquatic Biology, 11: 141–150. https://doi.org/10.22034/ijab.v7i4.556

- Munairi A. & Abida I.W., 2012. Study of density and distribution pattern *Nereis* sp. in Coastal Area, Kwanyar District, Bangkalan. Jurnal Kelautan 5: 47–51.
- Nonato E. (1966). *Sternaspis capillata* sp. N. (Annelida, Polychaeta). Boletim do Instituto Oceanografico, 15: 79–83.
- Otto A.G., 1821. Animalium Maritimorum nondum editorum. Genera dou. Nova Acta Physico-Medica Academiae Caesareae Leopoidino-Carolinae Naturae Curiosorum, 10: 617634.
- Pamungkas J., 2017. Capitella ambonensis: a new polychaete species (Annelida: Capitellidae) collected from a mangrove habitat on Ambon Island, Indonesia. Zootaxa, 4227.

https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4227.4.7

Pamungkas J. & Galsby C.J., 2019. Status of polychaete (Annelida) taxonomy in Indonesia, including a checklist of Indonesia species. Raffles Bulletin of Zoology, 67: 595–639.

https://doi.org/10.26107/RBZ-2019-0045

- Rahman I., 2016. Suitability analysis of polychaeta habitat in seagrass ecosystem, Parang Island, Karimunjawa. Jurnal Ilmu Perikanan dan Sumberdaya Perairan, 4: 401–412.
- Romadhoni M. & Aunurohim, 2013. Polychaeta community structure of mangrove river estuary area Kali Lamong-Pulau Galang, Gresik. Jurnal Sains and Seni Pomits, 2: 2337–3520.
- Rouse G.W., Fauchald K., 1997. Cladistics and Polychaetes. Zoologica Scripta, 26: 139–204.
- https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-6409.1997.tb00412.x Rouse G.W. & Pleijel F., 2001. Polychaetes. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Sahidin & Wardiatno Y., 2016. Spatial distribution of polychaeta at Tangerang coastal water, Banten Province. Jurnal Perikanan dan Kelautan, 6: 83–94. http://dx.doi.org/10.33512/jpk.v6i2.1102
- Salazar-Vallejo S.I., 2014. Three new polar species of Sternaspis Otto, 1821 (Polychaeta: Sternaspidae).
 Zootaxa, 3861: 333–344.
 https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3861.4.3

Salazar-Vallejo S.I., 2017. Six new tropical Sternaspid species (Annelida: Sternaspidae) with keys to identify genera and species. Zoological Studies, 56: e32. https://doi.org/10.6620/ZS.2017.56-32

- Salazar-Vallejo S.I. & Buzhinskaja G., 2013. Six new deep-water sternaspid species (Annelida, Sternaspidae) from the Pacific Ocean. ZooKeys, 348: 1–27. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.348.5449
- Schuller M., 2007. Biodiversity and Zoogeography of the Polychaeta (Annelida) in the deep Weddell Sea (Southern Ocean, Antarctica) and adjacent deep-sea

basins. [Dissertation]. Fakultät für Biologie und Biotechnologie der Ruhr-Universität Bochum.

- Sekar V., Rajasekaran R., Sachithanandam V., Sankar R., Sridhar R. & Kingsley P.W. 2016. Species diversity of polychaete in coral reef ecosystem of Great Nicobar Island, India. Nusantara Bioscience, 8: 71–76. https://doi.org/10.13057/nusbiosci/n080113
- Sendall K. & Salazar-Vallejo S.I., 2013. Revision of Sternaspis Otto, 1821 (Polychaeta, Sternaspidae). ZooKey, 286:1–74.

https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.286.4438

Shelley R., Widdicombe S., Woodward M., Stevens T., McNeill C.L. & Kendall M.A. 2008. An investigation of the impacts on biodiversity and ecosystem functioning of soft sediments by the non-native polychaete *Sternaspis scutata* (Polychaeta: Sternaspidae). Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 366: 146–150.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2008.07.018

- Tantikamton K., Thanee N., Jitpukdee S. & Potter M., 2015. Species diversity and ecological characteristics of benthic macroinvertebrates in the intertidal zone of Satun Province, Thailand and the First record of *Petersenaspis* sp. Int'l Journal of Advances in Agricultural & Environmental Eng, 2: 23–27. https://doi.org/10.15242/ijaaee.c0415023
- Townsend M., Worsfold T.M., Smith P.R.J., Martina L. J., Mcneill C. L. & Kendall M.A., 2006. Occurrence of *Sternaspis scutata* (Polychaeta: Sternaspidae) in the English Channel. Cahiers de Biologie Marine, 47: 281–285.

Widianwari P., Widianingsih., 2011. Deep sea worm community (Polychaete) at Flores Srait, Lamakera and Alor, Nusa Tenggara Timur. Ilmu Kelautan, 16: 219–228.

https://doi.org/10.14710/ik.ijms.16.4.219-228

- Wu X., Salazar-Vallejo S.I. & Xu K., 2015. Two new species of *Sternaspis* Otto, 1821 (Polychaeta:Sternaspidae) from China. Zootaxa, 4052:373-382. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4052.3.7
- Wu X. & Xu K., 2017. Diversity of Sternaspidae (Annelida: Terebellida) in the South China Sea, with descriptions of four new species. Zootaxa, 4244: 403–415. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4244.3.8
- Yoshino K., Nagayoshi M., Sato M., Katano T., Ito, Y., Fujii N., Hamada T. & Hayami Y., 2016. Life hitory of *Sternaspis costata* (Sternaspidae: Polychaeta) in Ariake Bay, Japan, Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the UK, 96: 647–655. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0025315415000880
- Yu Z., Qi A., Wang L., Shan E., Li D., Yang X. & Zhang A., 2022. A Novel CYP4 Gene Identified in the Polychaete *Sternaspis scutata* and Its Transcriptional Levels along the Coasts of the Liaodong Peninsula. Water, 14: 3489.

https://doi.org/10.3390/w14213489

- Yusron E., 1985. Some Notes About Sea Worms. Oseana, 10: 122–127.
- Zhang M, Liu C, Zhang C, Zhu H, Wan J. & Liu X., 2023. Response of macrofaunal assemblages to different pollution pressures of two types of ports. Ecological Indicators, 146: 109858.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109858